only sucrose which crystallizes on the plants while the nectar from flowers of the Poinsettia (Poinsettia sp.) contained glucose, fructose, and sucrose.

Acknowledgment. The authors thank Northrup King and Co., Minneapolis for the alsike clover seeds supplied through the courtesy of Mr. E. Doty.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL BIOCHEMISTRY University of Minnesota ST. PAUL, MINN.

## Cation Exchange Resins as Catalysts in the Alkylation of Phenols

Bernard Loev and John T. Massengale

Received January 28, 1957

Both anion and cation exchange resins have been widely used as catalysts for reactions such as esterification, hydrolysis, and sucrose inversion<sup>1,2</sup> reactions which involve only carbon-oxygen bonds. By comparison, reports of the use of resins (particularly cation exchange resins) as catalysts for reactions in which carbon-carbon bonds are formed, are few. Ion exchange resins are reported to catalyze cyanohydrin formation, aldol condensation, 3,4 and the various modifications of the Knoevenagel<sup>5</sup> and Michael<sup>6</sup> condensations. It seemed of interest to investigate other reactions which are normally catalyzed by strong acids, to see whether cation exchange resins could be used in place of the usual Lewis acids.

It has been found that the sulfonic acid type cation exchange resins are excellent catalysts for the alkylation of phenol with olefins such as isobutylene, diisobutylene, and nonene-1.

The resins investigated were Amberlite IR-112 and IR-120, Dowex  $50 \times 12$ , and Permutite Q; all

(1) (a) S. Sussman in Ion Exchange-Theory and Application, F. C. Nachod, ed., Academic Press Inc., New York, N. Y., 1949, p. 265. (b) F. C. Nachod, Ion Exchange Catalysis, L. Farkas Memorial Volume, A. Farkas, E. P. Wigner, eds., Research Council of Israel, Jerusalem, 1952, p. 188.

(2) (a) R. Kunin and R. J. Meyers, Ion Exchange Resins, John Wiley and Sons, New York, N. Y., p. 137, 1950. (b) F. X. McGarvey and R. Kunin in Ion Exchange Technology, F. C. Nachod and J. Schubert, eds., Academic Press

Inc., New York, N. Y., 1949, p. 265.
(3) C. J. Schmidle and R. C. Mansfield, Ind. Eng. Chem., 44, 1388 (1952).

(4) P. Mastagli and G. V. Durr, Bull. soc. chim. France, 268 (1955); Chem. Abstr., 50, 1668.
(5) M. J. Astle and W. C. Gergel, J. Org. Chem., 21, 493

(6) E. D. Bergmann and R. Corett, J. Org. Chem., 21, 107 (1956).

were sulfonic acid type resins. The conversion was a little lower when Amberlite IR-112 was used, but all other results were independent of the resin used.

The yields in these alkylations were essentially independent of the concentration of resin.

When phenol was alkylated with isobutylene using a cation resin there was generally no ortho isomer in the product.

The presence of water was deleterious, at least in the diisobutylene alkylation reactions, for when the resin was used after only air drying, no reaction occurred. The reaction required an oven-dried resin. Drying of the diisobutylene also increased the yield.

The use of a cation exchange resin as catalyst has the particular advantage over a mineral acid catalyst that at the conclusion of the reaction, a simple filtration of the molten reaction mixture leaves an acid-free solution ready for distillation. There is no chance of acid catalyzed rearrangement during the distillation, and the product is a high purity p-alkylphenol. In addition, the use of resins as catalysts eliminates by-products formed by reaction of the mineral acids with the phenol, and by by-products due to polymerization or degradation of the olefin.

Attempts to alkylate xylene and benzene using a resin catalyst were unsuccessful.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation of resin catalyst. The resins which are normally purchased in the salt form were converted to the acid form by washing with  $5\%~\mathrm{H_2SO_4}$  until the wash water gave a negative flame test for sodium. The resin was then thoroughly washed with distilled water until the wash water was neutral, air dried for 24 hr., and then heated for 18 hr. in a vacuum oven at 100-120°.

The resin may be washed with acetone and ether, following the air drying, to remove traces of colored organic impurities. This treatment has no effect on the catalytic activity of the resin.

The resins were all commercial materials: Amberlite IR-112 and 1R-120, 16 to 50 mesh (Rohm & Haas), Permutite Q, approx. 20 to 60 mesh (Permutite Corp.), and Dowex  $50 \times 12$ , 200–400 mesh (Dow Chemical).

p-tert-Octylphenol<sup>8</sup> (p-diisobutylphenol or 1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutylphenol). A typical preparation is given. Phenol (250 g., 2.6 moles), diisobutylene, (328 g., 219 moles), and 17.5 g. of Amberlite 1R-112, prepared as described above, were heated together with vigorous stirring for 24 hr. at 70-75°.

- (7) For greater ease in discussing the results of these experiments, the terms "conversion" and "yield" are employed. Percent conversion represents the percent of theoretical value based on the amount of (limiting) starting material charged to the reaction. Percent yield represents the percent of the theoretical value based on the amount of starting material used up (or not recovered) during the reaction.
- (8) As used here, p-tert-octylphenol refers to the alkyl group 1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl-, which results from the alkylation of phenols with dissolutylene (DIB). DIB is a mixture of two isomers, 2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene and 2,4,4trimethyl-2-pentene which are assumed to give identical alkylation products.

Catalyst	$\mathop{\mathrm{Resin}}_{\%}{}^{b}$	Di-tert- Butylphenol, % conv.c	o-tert- Butylphenol, % conv.c	<i>p-tert-</i> Butylphenol, % conv.°	<i>p-tert-</i> Butylphenol, % yield <sup>c</sup>
Amberlite IR-112	$6^{1}/_{4}$	0	0	37	98
Permutite Q	$6^{1}/_{4}$	0	4	51	83
Amberlite IR-120	$6^{1/4}$	0	0	57	87
Dowex $50 \times 12$	$6^{1}/_{4}$	0	0	49	77

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Reactions carried out as described in Experimental. <sup>b</sup> Wt. % based on total charge. <sup>c</sup> See ref. 8.

TABLE II

ALKYLATION OF PHENOL WITH DIISOBUTYLENE IN THE PRESENCE OF AMBERLITE IR-112

	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{tert-}\\ \text{Octylphenol,}\\ \sqrt[Conv.^b]{} \end{array}$	tert- Octylphenol, % Conv. <sup>b</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} \textit{tert-}\\ \text{Butylphenol,}\\ \% \text{ Conv.}^b \end{array}$	$\mathop{\mathrm{Resin}}_{\%}^{a}$	Time, Hrs.	Temp., °C.
С	Trace	Trace	Trace	14	3	83
d	63	38	10	14	$2^3/_4$	80
$_{d,e}$	100	49	6	1.4	$4^{1}/_{4}$	70–5
d, $e$	97	53	4	0.4	8	70-5
d, $e$	95	73	4	3	24	70-5
d, $e$	100	88	3	$\overline{7}$	24	70-5
d, $e$	91	65	11	1.4	41/4	100-5
d, $e$	77	61	$\overline{14}$	2	18	100-10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Wt. % based on total charge. <sup>b</sup> See ref. 7. <sup>c</sup> Air dried resin used. <sup>d</sup> Heat dried resin. <sup>e</sup> DIB dried.

The resin was then filtered off. The pale yellow filtrate had a set point of 57-60°. On distillation, the following fractions were obtained. b.p. 78-110° (20 mm.), phenol, 63 g.; b.p. 140-153° (20 mm.), p-tert-octylphenol, 390 g. (73% conversion, 95% yield), colorless liquid which soon crystallized, m.p. 82-83° (lit. b.p. 26 163°, m.p. 84°).

p-tert-Butylphenol. In a typical preparation 94 g. (1.0 mole) of phenol and 10 g. of Amberlite 1R-120, prepared as described above, were heated at 80° with vigorous stirring as 58.7 g. (0.92 moles) of isobutylene (Atlantic Refining Co.) was passed in beneath the surface of the liquid over a period of 3 hr. The isobutylene was completely absorbed. After the isobutylene had all been added, the temperature was raised to 120° for 4 hr. The resin was filtered off and the colorless filtrate was distilled giving the following fractions: b.p. 175-205°, phenol, 33.5 g.: b.p. 220-228°, o- and p-tert-butylphenol, 1.5 g.; b.p. 230-234°, p-tert-butylphenol, 73.8 g. (57.5% conversion, 88% yield), m.p. 92° (lit. b.p. 237°, m.p. 100°).

Nonylphenol. Phenol (47 g., 0.5 mole), 63 g. (0.5 mole) of nonene-1 and 5 g. of prepared Amberlite IR-112 resin were heated at 100-10° for 24 hr. with vigorous stirring. The resin was removed by filtration and the filtrate distilled giving the following fractions: b.p. 130-160°, nonene-1, 7 g.; b.p. 182-183°, phenol, 10.6 g.; b.p. 297-305°, nonylphenyl, 75.2 g.,  $n_{\rm p}^{28}$  1.5060 (68% conversion, 92% yield).

Attempted alkylation of xylene. The reaction was carried out as described under the preparation of p-tert-butylphenol, except that the isobutylene was fed in more slowly. No absorption of isobutylene occurred, and the xylene was quantitatively recovered.

Attempted alkylation of benzene. Benzene (80 g.), 84 g. of propylene tetramer and 5 g. of Amberlite IR-112 were heated at reflux, with stirring for 25 hr. No reaction had occurred for the propylene tetramer was quantitatively recovered on distillation.

## PENNSALT CHEMICAL CORPORATION PHILADELPHIA, PA.

## Metalation of Phenyl Benzyl Sulfide and Sulfone with n-Butyllithium

ERWIN A. LEHTO¹ AND DAVID A. SHIRLEY

Received January 30, 1957

The large activating effect of a sulfone group toward metalation at an adjacent C—H bond is well known. Thus Truce and co-workers² have demonstrated that diaryl sulfones are metalated readily with n-butyllithium at the positions ortho to the sulfone group. Gilman and Webb³ observed the metalation of methyl phenyl sulfone with n-butyllithium on the methyl group, and Grignard reagents have been shown to metalate the same position. 4,5

It was of interest to us to observe the position of metalation of phenyl benzyl sulfone since this molecule contains two different kinds of activated C—H bonds adjacent to the sulfonyl groups. The action of a n-butyllithium on an equimolar amount of benzyl phenyl sulfone followed by carbonation and hydrolysis allowed formation of 65% of  $\alpha$ -phenylsulfonylphenylacetic acid (I) indicating metalation at the —CH<sub>2</sub>— group. The structure of the acid from metalation is indicated by comparison of its decarboxylation temperature of 142–

<sup>(9)</sup> W. A. Pardee and W. Weinrich, Ind. Eng. Chem., 36, 595 (1944).

<sup>(1)</sup> Present address: The Koppers Co., Monaca, Pa.

<sup>(2)</sup> W. E. Truce and M. F. Amos, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73, 3013 (1951) and subsequent papers.

<sup>(3)</sup> H. Gilman and F. J. Webb, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 71, 4062 (1949).

<sup>(4)</sup> L. Field, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 74, 3919 (1952).

<sup>(5)</sup> W. M. Ziegler and R. Connor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 62, 2596 (1940).